

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,327	01/28/2004	Guerino G. Sacripante	118410	9875	
27074 OLIFE & RER	7590 07/05/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1762		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/765,327	SACRIPANTE ET	SACRIPANTE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William P. Fletcher III	1762		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STANDING TO STANDI	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'NGER, FROM THE MAILING Do available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 m the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period veset or extended period for reply will, by statute office later than three months after the mailingment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATERITY AT THE ATERITY ATERITY AT THE ATERITY AT THE ATERITY AT	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this connection (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is 3 ☐ Since this app	communication(s) filed on <u>15 M</u> FINAL. 2b) ☐ This lication is in condition for allowal rdance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	• •	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	_ is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) Applicant may r Replacement de	on is objected to by the Examine of filed on is/are: a) according to the request that any objection to the rawing sheet(s) including the correct claration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ition is required if the drawing(s) it	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/765,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, 21-35, 37-40, drawn to a powder coating process, classified in class 427, subclass 180.
- II. Claims 14-20 and 36, drawn to a powder coating and process of making, classified in class 525, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the powder coating can be used in a process of coating other than a conductive substrate.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Art Unit: 1762

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,327 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 0900h-1700h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William Phillip Fletcher III

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

June 25, 2007